WFIRST Update

Jim Green & Paul Schechter

Co-Chairs WFIRST Science Definition Team (SDT)

Astrophysics Subcommittee
July 13, 2011

Science Definition Team

James Green, Univ. of Colorado/CASA   Co-Chair
Paul Schechter, MIT   Co-Chair
Rachel Bean, Cornell University
Charles Baltay, Yale
Charles Bennett, JHU
David Bennett, Univ. of Notre Dame
Robert Brown, STScI
Christopher Conselice, Univ. of Nottingham
Megan Donahue, Michigan State Univ.
Scott Gaudi, Ohio State Univ.
Tod Lauer, NOAO
Bob Nichol, Univ. of Portsmouth
Saul Perlmutter, UC Berkeley / LBNL

Bernard Rauscher, GSFC
Jason Rhodes, JPL
Thomas Roellig, Ames
Daniel Stern, JPL
Takahashi Sumi, Nagoya Univ.
Angelle Tanner, Georgia State Univ.
Yun Wang, Univ. of Oklahoma
Edward Wright, UCLA

Neil Gehrels, GSFC Ex-Officio
Rita Sambruna, NASA HQ Ex-Officio
Wes Traub, JPL Ex-Officiio

WFIRST Summary

❖WFIRST is the highest ranked large space mission in NWNH, and plans to:

- complete the statistical census of Galactic planetary systems using microlensing
- determine the nature of the dark energy that is driving the current accelerating expansion of the universe
- survey the NIR sky for the community



❖Earth-Sun L2 orbit, 5 year lifetime, 10 year goal



❖The current Interim Design Reference Mission has

- 1.3 m unobstructed telescope
- NIR instrument with ~36 HgCdTe detectors
- $>$10,000 deg^2 5-sigma NIR survey at mag AB=25

❖The time is ripe for WFIRST:

- Space-qualified large format HgCdTe detectors are US developed technology and flight ready





SDT Charter

"The SDT is to provide science requirements, investigation approaches, key mission parameters, and any other scientific studies needed to support the definition of an optimized space mission concept satisfying the goals of the WFIRST mission as outlined by the Astro2010 Decadal Survey."

"In particular, the SDT report should present assessments about how best to proceed with the WFIRST mission, covering the cases that the Euclid mission, in its current or modified form, proceeds to flight development, or that ESA does not choose Euclid in the near future."

WFIRST – Science Objectives

1) Complete the statistical census of planetary systems in the Galaxy, from habitable Earth-mass planets to free floating planets, including analogs to all of the planets in our Solar System except Mercury.

2) Determine the expansion history of the Universe and its growth of structure in order to test explanations of its apparent accelerating expansion including Dark Energy and possible modifications to Einstein's gravity.

3) Produce a deep map of the sky at NIR wavelengths, enabling new and fundamental discoveries ranging from mapping the Galactic plane to probing the reionization epoch by finding bright quasars at $z>10$.

SDT Findings #1

WFIRST should include all of the science objectives and utilize all of the techniques outlined in the NWNH recommendations:

A: Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO) Galaxy Redshift Survey
B: Exoplanet (ExP) Microlensing Survey
C: Supernova SNe-Ia Survey
D: Weak Lensing (WL) Galaxy Shape Survey
E: Near Infrared Sky Survey – w/Survey of the Galactic plane
F: Guest Investigator Program
G: *Redshift Space Distortions, or RSD, acquired in parallel with BAO for free*

The WFIRST IDRM is compliant with the NWNH recommendation for groundbreaking observations in Dark Energy, Exoplanet and NIR sky surveys

Exoplanet Survey Capability

- Planet detection to 0.1 Earth mass (M_{Earth})
- Detects ≥ 30 free floating planets of 1 M_{Earth} in a 500 day survey*
- Detects ≥ 125 planets of M_{Earth} (in 2 year orbits) in a 500 day survey*
- Detects ≥ 25 habitable zone† planets (0.5 to 10 M_{Earth}) in a 500 day survey *

* Assuming one such planet per star; "500 day surveys" are concurrent

† 0.72-2.0 AU, scaling with the square root of host star luminosity

Data Set Rqts include:

✓Observe ≥ 2 square degrees in the Galactic Bulge at ≤ 15 minute sampling cadence;
✓Minimum continuous monitoring time span: ~60 days;
✓Separation of ≥4 years between first and last observing seasons.

Dark Energy Survey Capabilities

- BAO/RSD: ... "WIDE" survey mode
  - 11,000 deg^2/dedicated year
  - Redshift errors $\sigma_z \leq 0.001(1+z)$, over redshift range $0.7 \leq z \leq 2$
- Weak Lensing: ... "DEEP" survey mode
  - 2700 deg^2/dedicated year
  - Effective galaxy density $\geq$30/amin^2, shapes resolved plus photo-zs
- SNe-Ia Survey:
  - >100 SN per Δz= 0.1 bin for most bins $0.4 < z < 1.2$, per dedicated 6 months
  - Redshift error $\sigma_z \leq 0.005$ per supernova

NIR Survey Capabilities

- Identify ≥100 quasars at redshift $z>7$
- Obtain broad-band NIR spectral energy distributions of ≥1e9 galaxies at $z>1$ to extend studies of galaxy formation and evolution
- Map the structure of the Galaxy using red giant clump stars as tracers

Data Set Rqts include:

✓High Latitude data from Imager and Spectrometer channels during BAO/RSD and WL Surveys;
- Image 2500 deg^2 in 3 NIR filters to mag AB=25 at S/N=5

✓Galactic Plane Survey (~0.5 yr, per EOS Panel);
- Image 1500 deg^2 of the Galactic Plane in 3 NIR filters

✓Guest Investigator observations (~1 yr, per EOS Panel) will supplement

Science Return

Mission Performance: EOS Panel vs WFIRST IDRM

Science Investigation	EOS Panel Report	WFIRST IDRM
WL Survey	4000 deg^2	2700 deg^2/yr
BAO Survey	8000 deg^2	11,000 deg^2/yr
SNe	Not Mentioned	1200 SNe per 6 months
Exoplanet Microlensing	500 total days	500 total days
Galactic Plane Survey	0.5 yr GP Survey	0.5 yr GP Survey
Guest Investigators	1 year GI observations	1 year GI observations

Dark Energy Performance: NWNH Main Report vs WFIRST IDRM

DE Technique	NWNH Main Report	WFIRST IDRM 5 yr mission	WFIRST IDRM 5 yr Dark Energy*
WL Galaxy Shapes	2 billion	300 million (1 yr)	600 million (2 yr)
BAO Galaxy Redshifts	200 million	60 million (1 yr)	120 million (2 yr)
Supernova SNe-Ia	2000	1200 (1/2 yr)	2400 (1 yr)

***Including 5 year extended mission**

Science Return Summary

- WFIRST meets or comes close to meeting the time allocations and sky coverages given in the EOS Panel Report.

- For Dark Energy, WFIRST has fewer galaxies surveyed and SNe monitored than called for in the NWNH Main Report. The NWNH numbers were taken from the JDEM-IDECS RFI with 5 years of Dark Energy observations and were never feasible for WFIRST or JDEM-Omega (even with 5 years of DE).

- Still, the WFIRST IDRM has excellent performance compared to overall NWNH objectives as reviewed by the SDT. The FoM numbers are good for all science areas.

SDT Findings #2

How would WFIRST change if Euclid is selected?

- Due to the importance of the scientific questions and need for verification of the results, WFIRST should proceed with all of its *observational capabilities* intact regardless of the ESA decision on Euclid.

- WFIRST has superior design for BAO (fixed prism) and WL (unobscured telescope) and has unique coverage of SNe and Exoplanet microlensing.

- The actual *observation program* would likely be altered in light of Euclid's selection or in response to any Euclid results prior to WFIRST's launch.

SDT Findings #3

Should NASA and ESA decide to pursue a joint mission or program, all of the scientific capabilities currently included in WFIRST must be included in the joint mission or program.

Future Study Areas

- IDRM design/analysis cycle underway and continuing into FY12.
- Re-assessment of Euclid when Red Book is published.
- Assessment of collaboration opportunities with ESA once the status of Euclid is clarified in October 2011.
- Study of technical feasibility and scientific trades of increasing maximum wavelength beyond 2 microns.
- Study of technical feasibility and scientific trades of substituting a slit spectrometer or IFU for SN spectroscopy.

DETF FoM Venn diagrams
Baltay update 7-5-11

Conservative



Planck+StageIII priors
Weak Lensing 12months wide
BAO 12 months deep,
12 months wide
Supernova 6 months slitless

Optimistic



Planck+StageIII priors
Weak Lensing
BAO+RSD
Supernova

Comparison with EUCLID (DETF FoM)



Conservative γ figure of merit = $1/\sigma(\gamma)^2$

- Stage III baseline 221





Channel field layout for WFIRST IDRM-1

Moon (average size seen from Earth)

The Fields of view of the imaging channel (ImC), spectroscopy channels (SpCs), and guiding modes (FGS) are shown to scale with the Moon, HST, and JWST. Each square is a 4Mpix vis-NIR sensor chip assembly (SCA)

ImC: 7x4 @ 0.18"/p; SpC 2(2x2)@0.45"/p
[xfield center, yfield center, degrees]

SpC-B [-0.9275°,0°]



36°

0.536°

ImC: [0°, 0°]

0.463°



0.802°

Outrigger fine guidance sensors

SpC-A [0.9275°,0°]

0.536°



0.536°



HST [all instruments]



0.142°

0.31°

Auxiliary Fine Guidance System: 2@0.25"/p [0°, -0.6°]

JWST [all instruments]

WFIRST IDRM Observatory Layout



WFIRST IDRM
Payload Optics Block Diagram



FGS = Fine Guidance Sensor

Key Hardware Changes

WFIRST IDRM vs JDEM-Omega

- 1.3m unobscured telescope vs 1.5m obscured for JDEM-Omega.
  Better imaging performance. Faster integration times. Comparable cost.

- 4 detectors moved from Spectrometer to Imager, and Spectrometer pixel scale increased.
  Increased sky coverage for Imager while keeping Spectrometer sky coverage constant.

- Larger Field of Regard (range of pitch angles off the sun)
  Increased sky availability to meet Exoplanet Galactic Bulge field monitoring requirements in tandem with SNe field monitoring

- Focal designs for ImC/SpC vs afocal SpC design for JDEM-Omega
  Allowed removal of large, complex 4 asphere collimator feed to SpC

IDRM Payload Optics – Ray trace



IDRM ImC – Ray trace



Telescope	Common (PM/SM)
	Feed to ImC
	Feed to SpC
Instrument	Auxiliary FGS
	ImC
	SpC

IDRM SpC – Ray trace



Telescope	Common (PM/SM)
	Feed to ImC
	Feed to SpC
Instrument	Auxiliary FGS
	ImC
	SpC

Optical path for SpC-B is annotated ... SpC-A is a copy w/offset FOV

Throughput

- Plot shows effective areas for each instrument configuration: Each of 2 identical Spectrometer channels (SpCs), and each element in the Imager filter wheel, per filter table below.

name	min	max	center	type
F087	0.760	0.970	0.865	ImC filter
F111	0.970	1.240	1.105	ImC filter
F141	1.240	1.570	1.405	ImC filter
F178	1.570	2.000	1.785	ImC filter
W149	0.970	2.000	1.485	ImC filter
P130	0.6	2	1.3	R75 ImC prism
SpC	1.114	2	1.557	R200 SpC prism



One Page Flow Down - Purpose

- Substantiate that the IDRM can achieve the science objectives mandated by NWNH.
- Trace WFIRST's Science Objectives to a set of derived Survey and Data Set requirements, and flow these down to a responsive Interim Observatory Design and Ops Concept
- IDRM is an **Interim** Reference Design
    - o Design implementation is not prescriptive and is preliminary
    - o Multiple designs can meet the science requirements



WFIRST Interim Design Reference Mission Schedule Estimate



Backup Charts

WFIRST Interim Design Reference Mission Cost Estimate

- NWNH Astro 2010 ICE for the WFIRST life cycle cost estimate (LCCE) using JDEM Omega as the basis of estimate was $1.6B
- WFIRST IDRM incorporates only minor optimizations of JDEM Omega
  - These optimizations were made with cost control in mind
- WFIRST Project is in the process of developing the LCCE for the IDRM using multiple estimating techniques (grassroots, modeled, analogy)
- This LCCE is based on the IDRM development schedule shown on the previous page. This schedule is almost identical to the submitted JDEM Omega schedule, which received favorable review by NWNH.
  - Since only minor optimizations have been made to JDEM Omega to arrive at the WFIRST IDRM, it is highly likely that this schedule will remain at the 70% confidence level.
- In parallel with the Project's cost estimation efforts, an ICE of the IDRM will be performed this summer.
  - Complete early September
  - Cost increases based on increased schedule duration are unlikely because of IDRM schedule validation against NWNH ICE WFIRST 70% schedule assessment

Example Dark Energy Performance



DETF FoM Venn diagrams
OLD

Conservative



Planck+StageIII priors
Weak Lensing 12months wide
BAO 12 months deep,
12 months wide
Supernova 6 months slitless

Optimistic



Planck+StageIII priors
Weak Lensing
BAO+RSD
Supernova

WFIRST's Central Line of Sight (LOS) Field of Regard (FOR)

Observing Zone:
54°-126° Pitch off Sun Line
360° Yaw about Sun Line
±10° roll about LOS
(off max power roll*)

* Larger roll allowed for SNe



WL/ BAO-RSD/ GI/ GP Surveys can be optimized within the full Observing Zone

SNe Inertially Fixed Fields must be within 20° of one of the Ecliptic Poles, and can be rotated every ~90 days

ExP can observe Inertially Fixed Fields in the Galactic Bulge (GB) for 72 days twice a year

WFIRST’s FOR and its Motion

<Views are looking normal to the ecliptic plane>

Orbital motion covers full sky twice/year; SNe fields near ecliptic poles always accessible



Galactic Bulge lies within the FOR for two 72-day seasons each year

Instantaneous FOR is a 360° band with a width of 72° driven by Sun angles



Capabilities Yield Flexible Ops Concept

WFIRST Exhibits Excellent Observing Mode Flexibility in Sample Ops Concept Meeting ExP and SNe Field Monitoring Rqts



WFIRST IDRM Ops Flexibility within ExP/SNe Constraints
(If GP-Bulge Season Ends as IOC Completes)

WFIRST IDRM vs JDEM Omega

Engineering Design Changes (1 of 2)

Design Change IDRM vs JDEM Omega	Pros	Cons
1.3m unobscured (JDEM was 1.5m obscured)	Same sensitivity at smaller diameter primary mirror	Alignment tolerance tighter, but achievable
	More light in the core of the image Better weak lensing signal	Payload wider Tighter fairing accommodation, but achievable
	Larger total field of view Larger imager area Same spectrometer area	
	Design margins are improved Aberration residuals are smaller compared to the budget	
	Stray light rejection improved Capability to point closer to sun	
	Roughly equivalent cost	

WFIRST IDRM vs JDEM Omega

Engineering Design Changes (2 of 2)

Design Change IDRM vs JDEM Omega	Pros	Cons
Shifted 4 SCAs from spectrometer channel to imager channel	1/6 increase in survey speed for all imaging science	Spectrometer gets faster Focus budget gets tighter, but achievable
	BAO science not significantly impacted	
Changed from hybrid (afocal spectrometer, focal imager) to all focal by putting powered prisms in spectrometer channel	Allows removal of 4-asphere collimators in telescope feed to spectrometer channels Mass and volume savings	Flight qualification optics glass necessary Thought to be low risk for WFIRST spectral band pass at L2 environment
	Telescope optics become simpler 3 similar tertiaries 3 similar focal interfaces	

Dark Energy Techniques

- Three most promising techniques each provide different physical observables and unique information:



Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO)
- Emission line galaxies positioned in 3D using strong Hα line
- Spectroscopic redshift survey in NIR



Weak Lensing (WL)
- Precision shape measurement of galaxy shap
- Photo-z redshifts

Type Ia Supernovae (SNe)
- Type Ia supernovae detected into NIR



- Redshift Space Distortions (RSD)
  – Distortions in Hubble flow
  – Galaxy redshifts from BAO survey can give growth of structure info

Exoplanet Microlensing Technique

- Monitor Galactic bulge in NIR
- Detect microlensing events of background stars by foreground stars + planets
- Also detects free-floating planets
- Complementary to transit techniques (such as Kepler)





Microlensing – Transit Comparison

WFIRST



Kepler



Figures from B. MacIntosh of the ExoPlanet Task Force

WFIRST NIR Surveys

NIR Imaging Surveys



NIR Redshift Surveys



WFIRST provides a factor of 100 improvement in IR surveys

WFIRST High-z Quasar Return Estimate/Comparison

Survey	Area (deg^2)	Depth (5-sigma, AB)	z>7 QSO's	z>10 QSO's
UKIDSS-LAS	4000	Ks=20.3	8	-
VISTA-VHS	20,000	H=20.6	40	-
VISTA-VIKING	1500	H=21.5	11	-
VISTA-VIDEO	12	H=24.0	1	-
Euclid, wide (5 yr.)	15,000	H=24.0	1406	23
WFIRST, deep (1 yr.)	2700	F3=25.9	904	17
WFIRST, wide (1 yr.)	(4730)	F3 = 25.3-25.5	1148	21

Returns of quasar's at z>7 and z>10 for multiple surveys. Note: For the WFIRST wide survey, we only consider the 4730 deg^2 (out of 11,000 deg^2 total for a 1 yr wide survey) that are imaged with at least two exposures in both filters.

Optical element description



- Telescope is 3 channel, 1.3m unobscured three mirror anastigmat
- Interfaces are each f/16 focal, well corrected pupils; readily testable, well understood
  - Mechanical, thermal, optical interface all at pupils

SpC detail: 14 surfaces, 11 spheres, 2 conic, 1 flat

Pupil w/ Mask

Focal prism mating surfaces are concentric for alignment

L2S2 is Flat

P3S2 is Conic

L4S2 is Conic



To FPA

Name: P1 P2 P3 L1 L2 L3 L4

Material: CaF2 S-TiH1 CaF2 ZnSe CaF2 Infrasil CaF2

Robust optical performance margins



Spectrometer wavefront error distribution at wavelength shown (unless titled imC for Imaging Channel)



SN prism wavefront error distribution